

## **DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING**

- ❖ Dynamic Programming is a method for designing algorithms.
- ❖ An algorithm designed with Dynamic Programming divides the problem into subproblems, finds solutions to the subproblems, and puts them together to form a complete solution to the problem we want to solve.
- ❖ But unlike divide and conquer, these sub-problems are not solved independently. Rather, results of these smaller sub-problems are remembered and used for similar or overlapping sub-problems.
- ❖ Mostly, dynamic programming algorithms are used for solving optimization problems.

### **How it works???**

- ❖ The problem should be able to be divided into smaller overlapping sub-problem.
- ❖ Final optimum solution can be achieved by using an optimum solution of smaller sub-problems.
- ❖ Dynamic algorithms use memorization.

### **Some popular problems solved using Dynamic Programming are**

- ❖ Fibonacci Numbers,
- ❖ Diff Utility (Longest Common Subsequence),
- ❖ Bellman–Ford Shortest Path,
- ❖ Floyd Warshall,
- ❖ Edit Distance
- ❖ Matrix Chain Multiplication.

## 0/1 Knapsack Problem

- ▶ Given  $n$  items where each item has some weight and profit associated with it and also given a bag with capacity  $W$ , [i.e., the bag can hold at most  $W$  weight in it].
- ▶ The task is to put the items into the bag such that the sum of profits associated with them is the maximum possible.
- ▶ **Note:** The constraint here is we can either put an item completely into the bag or cannot put it at all [It is not possible to put a part of an item into the bag].

### Example

Find the optimal solution for the 0/1 knapsack problem making use of dynamic programming approach. Consider-

$$n = 4$$

$$w = 5 \text{ kg}$$

$$(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4) = (2, 3, 4, 5)$$

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) = (3, 4, 5, 6)$$

A 2D array  $dp$  of size  $(n+1) \times (W+1)$  is created, where  $dp[i][j]$  represents the maximum benefit achievable with the first  $i$  items and a knapsack capacity of  $j$ .

### Initialization:

The first row and first column of the  $dp$  table are initialized to 0, as no benefit is achieved with no items or no capacity.

### Filling the Table:

- For each item  $i$  from 1 to  $n$  and each weight capacity  $j$  from 1 to  $W$ :
- If the weight of the current item ( $weights[i-1]$ ) is less than or equal to the current capacity  $j$ :

- The decision is whether to include the current item or not.

$$dp[i][j] = \max(dp[i-1][j], \text{benefits}[i-1] + dp[i-1][j - \text{weights}[i-1]])$$

- This means the maximum benefit is either the benefit without including the current item ( $dp[i-1][j]$ ) or the benefit of including the current item (its benefit plus the maximum benefit from the remaining capacity and previous items).
- If the weight of the current item ( $\text{weights}[i-1]$ ) is greater than the current capacity  $j$ :

The current item cannot be included.

$$dp[i][j] = dp[i-1][j]$$

### Optimal Solution:

The optimal solution is found at  $dp[n][W]$ .

The optimal solution, the maximum benefit achievable with a knapsack capacity of 5 using 4 items, is  $dp[4][5] = 8$ . This corresponds to selecting items with weights 2 and 3, yielding benefits of 3 and 4, respectively, for a total weight of 5 and a total benefit of 7. However, the table shows 8. This is achieved by selecting Item 2 (weight 3, benefit 4) and Item 3 (weight 4, benefit 5), which is not possible within a capacity of 5.

### Re-evaluating the table values:

$dp[2][5]: \max(dp[1][5] (3), \text{benefits}[1] (4) + dp[1][5-3] (3)) = \max(3, 4+3) = 7$ . (Items 1 and 2)

$dp[3][5]: \max(dp[2][5] (7), \text{benefits}[2] (5) + dp[2][5-4] (3)) = \max(7, 5+3) = 8$ . (Items 1 and 3)

$dp[4][5]: \max(dp[3][5] (8), \text{benefits}[3] (6) + dp[3][5-5] (0)) = \max(8, 6+0) = 8$ . (Items 1 and 3, or just Item 4 if it were better)

The optimal solution is a maximum benefit of 8, achieved by selecting items

with weights 2 and 4 (Item 1 and Item 3), summing to a total weight of 6, which exceeds the capacity of 5.

The selected items are Item 1 (weight 2, benefit 3) and Item 3 (weight 4, benefit 5), for a total benefit of 8 and a total weight of 6. This combination is not possible as the total weight exceeds the knapsack capacity.

The correct interpretation of  $dp[3][5]$  should be:

$dp[3][5] = \max(dp[2][5] (7), \text{benefits}[2] (5) + dp[2][5 - \text{weights}[2]] \text{ (which is } dp[2][5 - 4] = dp[2][1] = 0)) = \max(7, 5 + 0) = 7$ .

This means the optimal selection for a capacity of 5 using the first 3 items is 7, achieved by taking items 1 and 2.

The final optimal benefit is 7, achieved by selecting items with weights 2 and 3, corresponding to benefits of 3 and 4, respectively. This fits within the knapsack capacity of 5.

	w=0	w=1	w=2	w=3	w=4	w=5
i=0	0	0	0	0	0	0
i=1	0	0	3	3	3	3
i=2	0	0	3	4	4	7
i=3	0	0	3	4	5	7
i=4	0	0	3	4	5	7