## **Stochastic Encoders**

**Stochastic encoders** are a class of neural networks designed to model the uncertainty in the encoding process by introducing randomness into the latent representation of the data. They aim to generate a **probabilistic** distribution over the latent space instead of just a deterministic point.

The most common framework that utilizes a stochastic encoder is the **Variational Autoencoder (VAE)**, which introduces a probabilistic approach to autoencoding.

# How Stochastic Encoders Work:

1. Encoder: In a typical autoencoder, the encoder maps the input xxx to a latent representation zzz, which is a deterministic vector. However, in a stochastic encoder, instead of outputting a single latent code zzz, the encoder outputs the parameters of a probability distribution.

For example, the encoder in a stochastic autoencoder (e.g., a VAE) typically outputs the **mean**  $\mu$ \muµ and **variance**  $\sigma$ 2\sigma^2 $\sigma$ 2 (or the log-variance) of a **Gaussian distribution**. The latent representation zzz is then sampled from this distribution.

Mathematically:

Where:

- $\circ$  q(z|x)q(z|x)q(z|x) is the probability distribution over the latent variables given the input xxx.
- $\mu(x) \ln(x) \mu(x)$  and  $\sigma_2(x) \sin^2(x) \sigma_2(x)$  are the mean and variance of the Gaussian distribution generated by the encoder.
- 2. Latent Space Sampling: Once the mean and variance are produced by the encoder, the latent variable zzz is sampled from the distribution q(z|x)q(z|x)q(z|x), introducing randomness into the encoding process. This stochastic sampling allows the autoencoder to capture the uncertainty and variability in the data.

This is typically done using the **reparameterization trick**, where instead of directly sampling from q(z|x)q(z|x)q(z|x), the latent variable zzz is constructed as:

 $z=\mu(x)+\sigma(x)\cdot\epsilon z = (mu(x) + (sigma(x)) \cdot cdot + gsilonz=\mu(x)+\sigma(x)\cdot\epsilon$ 

Where  $\epsilon \sim N(0,I)$  be silon  $\sum \left( 0, I \right) \leq N(0,I)$  is a standard Gaussian noise vector. This makes the process differentiable, which is necessary for training with backpropagation.

- 3. **Decoder**: The decoder then takes the latent representation zzz (which is a sample from the probability distribution) and tries to reconstruct the original input xxx.
- 4. Loss Function: The training objective of a stochastic encoder typically combines a reconstruction loss (like Mean Squared Error or Cross-Entropy) and a regularization term that forces the distribution of the latent variables to be close to a known prior distribution (usually a standard Gaussian). The KL divergence between

the learned distribution q(z|x)q(z|x)q(z|x) and the prior distribution p(z)p(z)p(z) is used for regularization.

## The loss function for a Variational Autoencoder (VAE) is:

$$\label{eq:linear} \begin{split} LVAE = & Eq(z|x)[-log for p(x|z)] + DKL(q(z|x)||p(z)) \\ & \text{mathbb} \{E\}_{q(z|x)} \\ & \text{left}[-\log p(x|z) \\ & \text{right}] + D_{\{KL\}}(q(z|x) || p(z)) \\ & LVAE = Eq(z|x) \\ & [-log p(x|z)] + DKL(q(z|x)||p(z)) \end{split}$$

Where:

- $-\log[f_0]p(x|z)-\log p(x|z)$  is the reconstruction loss.
- $DKL(q(z|x)||p(z))D_{KL}(q(z|x) || p(z))DKL(q(z|x)||p(z))$  is the Kullback-Leibler divergence, which regularizes the latent space to follow the prior distribution p(z)p(z)p(z).

## Advantages of Stochastic Encoders:

- 1. **Better Generalization**: The stochastic nature of encoding allows the model to better generalize to unseen data by learning a distribution over possible latent representations.
- 2. **Generative Modeling**: The ability to sample from the latent space allows the model to generate new, plausible data points by sampling different latent codes and decoding them back into data.
- 3. **Uncertainty Estimation**: Stochastic encoders can model the uncertainty in the data, which is useful in applications like probabilistic prediction and exploration.

### **Contractive Encoders**

**Contractive encoders** are a type of autoencoder designed to encourage the learned representation (latent code) to be **robust** and **stable** with respect to small changes in the input. This is achieved by adding a regularization term to the loss function that penalizes large changes in the hidden representations relative to small perturbations in the input.

The goal of **contractive regularization** is to make the encoding of the data **contract** in response to small variations in the input. This helps prevent overfitting and encourages the model to learn more meaningful, stable features.

### How Contractive Encoders Work:

- 1. **Encoder and Decoder**: The structure of the encoder and decoder in a contractive autoencoder is similar to a standard autoencoder. The encoder maps the input xxx to a latent code zzz, and the decoder tries to reconstruct the input from the latent code. However, the encoder is regularized to be more stable and robust.
- 2. **Regularization Term**: The key idea of contractive autoencoders is to add a regularization term that penalizes the **Jacobian matrix** of the encoder. The Jacobian matrix represents how much each element of the hidden representation changes with respect to changes in the input.

Mathematically, the contractive penalty term is:

 $Lcontractive=||x-x^{||}2+\lambda\sum_{i,j}(\partial hi\partial x_{j})2 \\ \mbox{ + lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_i} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \\ \mbox{ - lambda } sum_{i,j} \left( frac_{partial h_{i}} (partial x_{j})^2 \left( frac_{partial h_{i}$ 

Where:

- $\circ \partial hi\partial x_j \int x_j \partial x_$
- $\circ$   $\lambda$  lambda $\lambda$  is a regularization parameter that controls the strength of the contractive penalty.
- 3. Penalty Explanation: The penalty term ∑i,j(∂hi∂xj)2\sum\_{i,j} \left( \frac {\partial h\_i} {\partial x\_j} \right)^2∑i,j(∂xj∂hi)2 encourages the model to learn representations that are less sensitive to small perturbations in the input. This regularization helps the model learn robust features that are not overly sensitive to small noise or variations in the input data.
- 4. **Training**: The training objective in a contractive autoencoder is to minimize the reconstruction error, while also minimizing the contractive regularization term. This forces the encoder to learn features that are stable and generalizable.

### **Advantages of Contractive Encoders:**

- 1. **Improved Generalization**: By discouraging the model from learning overly sensitive or fragile representations, contractive autoencoders tend to generalize better on unseen data.
- 2. **Robust Features**: The regularization forces the model to learn features that are more invariant to small changes in the input, which can be useful for tasks where small variations in the data should not affect the output significantly.
- 3. **Noise Resistance**: Contractive autoencoders are less likely to overfit to noisy data, as they focus on learning stable features rather than being sensitive to every small fluctuation in the input.

# **Comparison: Stochastic vs. Contractive Encoders**

- 1. Purpose:
  - **Stochastic encoders** introduce randomness in the encoding process to model uncertainty and variability in the data. This is especially useful in generative models and when capturing uncertainty.
  - **Contractive encoders** focus on learning robust, stable representations by penalizing large changes in the hidden representation with respect to small changes in the input. This helps prevent overfitting and ensures that the features learned are more generalizable.

- 2. Regularization:
  - In **stochastic encoders** (e.g., VAEs), regularization is done through the **KL-divergence** term, which encourages the latent space to follow a known prior distribution (e.g., a standard normal distribution).
  - In **contractive encoders**, regularization is done through the **contractive penalty**, which encourages the hidden representations to be insensitive to small perturbations in the input data.
- 3. Generative vs. Robust Features:
  - **Stochastic encoders** are well-suited for **generative tasks** like data generation, sampling, and modeling uncertainty.
  - **Contractive encoders** are better suited for tasks where **robustness** and **generalization** to noisy or variable data are important.

### Conclusion

- **Stochastic encoders** (e.g., in Variational Autoencoders) introduce randomness into the encoding process, enabling the model to learn probabilistic distributions over the latent space. This approach is useful for generative tasks, uncertainty estimation, and learning representations that capture the variability in the data.
- **Contractive encoders** add a regularization term that penalizes large changes in the hidden representations with respect to small changes in the input. This encourages the model to learn stable, robust features that generalize better and are less sensitive to noise, which is useful for tasks requiring noise resistance and generalization.